

FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10990356-1 9325 Curtis Gregory Kelsay 09/491,994 01/26/2000 EXAMINER 7590 05/03/2004 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY WILLIAMS, KEVIN D P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION 2854

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/491,994	KELSAY, CURTIS GREGORY	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Kevin D. Williams	2854	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a
final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in
condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuec
Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	Andrew H. Hirshfeld Supervisory patent examiner
	Other:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	Claim(s) rejected: 20-23,25-29,33-39 and 41-50.
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
7.🛛 1	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
6. <u> </u>	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
5. 🗌	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
,	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
ee have ee unde (2) as se	706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension e been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension er 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if led, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
a) [b) [2	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
_	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
conditi	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
Theref	REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the language added to clam 42, particularly "a print engine disposed within the housing", raises new issues that require further consideration and/or search.